FAMILY CONSUMER LAW CASE ACCEPTANCE GUIDELINES 2011

I. Threshold Eligibility Issues For Opening an Advice and Counsel Case

- a. Caller or caller's child/ren is/are domestic violence survivor/s
- b. Caller meets all eligibility criteria (including analysis of lack of control over resources for DV exception)

II. Compelling Factors to consider in determining if advice and counsel client may be scheduled

On a case-by-case basis, the advocate will weigh the following compelling factors and use his/her best judgment to determine if the client should be scheduled. The client need not have all factors, but may have a combination of one or more factors.

- a. Limited English proficiency client who will not be able to avail him/herself of the court system because of limited English
- b. Client has child/ren
- c. Client has obtained a restraining order after hearing or there are other indications that client is unlikely to reconcile with abuser
- d. Abuser is directly responsible for client's consumer law issues
- e. Client is in school
- f. Resolution of consumer law issue requires negotiation or advocacy, rather than litigation, or there is no available statutory attorney's fees provision
- g. Client has a low-recovery consumer law case unlikely to be accepted on contingency by a private attorney
- h. Recovery for consumer law case is unlikely to meet the amount in controversy threshold for limited civil court jurisdiction (small claims court case)

III. Other issues to consider prior to scheduling

These issues are not part of the "compelling factors" analysis. These issues may none the less make a compelling case, more or less schedulable.

- a. Veracity of client
- b. Merits of case
- c. Overly litigious client multiplicity of motions
- d. Prior counsel
- e. Any sanctions against client
- f. Insufficient time to assist
- g. Representation may significantly impact clients case with minimal efforts by advocate
- h. Client's expectations relief sought is or is not appropriate and reasonable under circumstances
- i. Client's ability to prioritize consumer law issues and assist with case

SERVICE	Oakland	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Contra Costa	Marin	Napa
Place credit report freeze with consumer reporting agencies following identity theft	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dispute ChexSystems/credit report/investigative report inaccuracies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Litigate ChexSystems/credit report/investigative report inaccuracies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Defend debt collection lawsuits	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Negotiate debt repayment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Negotiate release of tax collection levies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Issue cease and desist and Czap letters against aggressive debt collectors	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Litigate violations of the Federal Debt Collection Practices Act	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Assist self–represented litigants in consumer law small claims court cases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Provide foreclosure mitigation assistance (loan modification document preparation)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Represent clients in Chapter 7 bankruptcy filings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Represent clients in Chapter 13 bankruptcy filings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Affirmatively litigate cases against predatory cash lenders (payday lenders)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Negotiate utility shut off and turn on issues (outstanding bills/deposit requirements)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Litigate unconscionable consumer contracts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Litigate consumer contracts written in English if negotiated in a foreign language	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Litigate unfair business	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

SERVICE	Oakland	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Contra Costa	Marin	Napa
practices in consumer contracts							
Set aside and negotiate dismissal of unlawful detainer judgments for judgment-proof clients	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Expunge criminal records	No	No	No	No	No	No	No